

Remarks and Arguments

Claims 7-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In particular, the examiner has stated that the limitation "said at least one measurement point" in Claims 7-10 lacks antecedent basis. In reviewing these claims, it is clear that there are minor clerical errors in each of Claim 7 and Claim 10. Each of these claims uses the phrase "said least one measurement point" where, in fact, they should read "at least one measurement point." Correction of this clerical error herein resolves the antecedent problem with these claims, as well as with Claims 8 and 9, which each depend ultimately from Claim 7. Reconsideration of Claims 7-10 under this ground for rejection is respectfully requested.

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully requested that all the claims be allowed such that the application may be passed to issue. If it is believed that a telephone conference will help expedite prosecution of the application, the examiner is invited to call the undersigned. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees due for the filing of this paper to the applicants' attorneys' Deposit Account No. 02-3038.

Respectfully submitted


Philip L. Conrad, Esq. Reg. No. 34,567
KUDIRKA & JOBSE, LLP
Customer Number 021127
Tel: (617) 367-4600 Fax: (617) 367-4656

Date: May 27, 2005